District Good Governance Index Regional Conference of DARPG on Good Governance and Replication, Guwahati, 23rd December 2017



DR. PURNIMA CHAUHAN, IAS, SECY. AR, GOVT OF HP.

Need for a GGI

- there is a strong correlation between governance quality and the level of development in a state
- Guard against"halo effects" whereby countries with good economic performance receive better-than-warranted governance scores. Providing empirical evidence in support of such biases is much more difficult to be done convincingly
- "specific, objective, and actionable" measures of governance are needed to guide policymakers and to make progress in governance reforms
- it is difficult to identify indicators that are "actionworthy" as opposed to merely being "actionable".
- We live in a highly globalised world competing for the same resources to provide services that win the trust and confidence of a diverse and aspirational society.

Each **SDG** is Vital in itself 8 they are all conne cted



REVERSE LAND DEGRADATION, HALT

BIODIVERSITY LOSS

ND POVERTY IN ALL ITS FORMS RYWHERE

INCLUSIVE AN

ION FOR ALL AND

JUSTAINA

#16: PROMOTE JUST, PEACEFUL AND

INCLUSIVE SOCIETIES

FOR ALL

LE

FE' & NG LEARNING

D QUALITY

PROMOTE

FORDAB

AND MODERN

THIN AND

#2. END HUNGER, ACHIEVE FOOD SECURITY AND IMPROVED NUTRITION AND PROMOTE SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

#5: ACHIEVE GENDER EQUALITY AND EMPOWER WOMEN AND GIRLS ~

#8: PROMOTE INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC GROWTH, **EMPLOYMENT AND DECENT WORK FOR** ALL

#11: MAKE CITIES INCLUSIVE, SAFE RESILIENT AND SUSTAINABLE

#14: CONSERVE AND SUSTAINABLY USE THE OCEANS, SEAS AND MARINE RESOURCES

#17: REVITALIZE THE GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT



Introduction to Himachal Pradesh

	Unit	2011 Census
Area	Sq.	55673(2D Area)
	Kms.	86384(3DArea)
Districts	No.	12
Tehsils/Sub Tehsils	No.	151
Development Blocks	No.	78
Census Villages	No.	20690
Gram Panchayats	No.	3226
Towns	No.	59

Demographic Profile of the State

	HP	All India
Population (million) (Census 2011)	6.8	1121
Decennial Growth Rate(%)	12.94	17.64
Population Density per sq. km.	123	382
Sex Ratio (Females per 1000 males)	972	943
Rural Population	89.96	68.84
Literacy Rates	82.80	73.0
Life Expectancy (2006-10)	70.0	66.1
Male	67.7	64.6
Female	72.4	67.7
Forest Area as a %age of total Area	66.5%	21.0%

The backdrop

- The idea of a District Level Good Governance Index arises out of 2 national reports on governance, the Public Affairs Index 2016 & and 2017, created by the Public Affairs Centre, Bangalore, comparing the 30 states of the nation.
- The PAI 2017 is a three tier matrix of 10 themes, 26 focus subjects and 82 indicators based on secondary data in the public domain.
- The challenge is to find a measurable matrix that can adequately reflect good governance at the discrict level.

Themes

18 Focus subjects

52 Indicators

 The district good governance index for HP themes, 18 focus subjects and 52 indica;

Framework of SGGI-PAI

- Secondary Research.
- Government data available in public domain.
- Mix of Processes and Outcomes and Institutions.
- Three years' data to indicate movement.
- Mix of latest data and CAGR.
- Weightages assigned to indicators on perceived importance.
- PAI is split into large and small states



7 Themes & 18 focus subjects











POWER

WATER

ROADS

HOUSING









ION HE

HEALTH







MINORITY WELFARE



EMPLOYMENT



WOMEN



CHILDREN

PAC_HP Government joint project



VIOLENT CRIMES



ATROCITIES



ENVIRONMEN TAL VIOLATIONS



FOREST COVER



TRANSPARENCY



ACCOUNTABILITY

Design of District Governance Index

Governance Sectors

 covers the entire gamut of Governance issues from 7 themes, 18 focus subjects captured in 52 indicators at distt level- leaves out PAI SGGI's eco freedom, fiscal management, delivery of justice indicators.

Governance Indicators

 measurable parameters as on <u>31st March 2017</u>- Out of 52 for 15 indicators inputs by Eco & stats dept

Indicator Selection Principles



Methodology



Process Map of creating the District Index

- Formula Used at indicator level = Value-Maximum/Maximum-Minimum.
- This formula compresses the data of every variable into a scale of 0 to 1, where 0 indicates the worst and 1 indicates the best performer.

Theme I: Essential Infrastructure

i. Power

- 1. Households electrified as a % of total HHs
- 2. Per capita consumption of power

ii. Water

 3. % HHs with access to drinking water*



Theme I: Essential Infrastructure (contd.)

iii. Roads and communications

4. Surfaced rods as % of total roads

iv. Housing

- 5. No of pucca houses as a % of total HHs
- 6. Slum population as % of total urban population
- 7. Households using improved sanitation*spg 6

facility *



Theme II: Human Development

v. Education*

- 8. % SC enrollment out of total SC target population
- 9. % ST enrollment out of total ST target population
- 10. Retention rate at primary level (NITI Aayog indicator)
- 11. Transition rate from upper-primary to secondary level (NITI Aayog indicator)
- 12. % of children aged 6-14 enrolled in Private school
- 13. % of children aged 6-14 not enrolled in school
- 14. Standard 3rd to 5th Learning levels
- a. % of children who can read at least Std. I level text
- b. % of children who can read do at least subtraction
- 15. Standard 6th to 8th Learning levels
- a. % of children who can read at least Std. II level text**SDG 4**
- b. % of children who can read do division

4 QUALITY EDUCATION

vi. Health*

- 16. IMR
- 17.Average population served per government allopathic doctor
- 18. Full immunisation
- 19. No. of deaths in hospitals
- 20. Sex ratio at birth (NITI Aayog indicator)
- 21. Proportion of pregnant women aged 15-49 years who are anaemic (NITI Aayog indicator)



Theme III: Social protection

viii. Social Justice

vii. Public Distribution System (PDS)

- 22. Allocation and offtake of grain under PDS
- 23. % of pension beneficiaries of target population above 60
- 24. % of households with no land
- 25. Incidence of crime against SC/ST
- 26. Percentage of titles distributed over number of claims received under the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers Act

Theme III: Social protection (contd)

ix. Minority Welfare

- 27. No. of minority children given pre-metric scholarship
- 28. % Muslim enrollment out of target Muslim population
- 29. % Buddhist Enrolment out of total Buddhist target population
- 30. % of Sikh Enrolment out of total target Sikh Population
- 31. % of Christian Enrolment out of total target Christian Population

x. Employment

- 32. Average days of employment provided per household: NREGA
- 33. Average wage rate per day per person: NREGA
- 34.Women Participation

Theme IV: Women and Children

xi. Children

- 35. Crimes against children
- 36. Percentage of Child labour
- 37. % of beneficiaries under ICDS
- 38. Child sex ratio
- 39. % of Malnourished children
- 40. % of Severely Malnourished children

xii. Women

- 41. Institutional delivery (NITI Aayog indicator)
- 42. Male female literacy gap*



Theme V: Crime, Law and Order

xiii. Violent Crimes

- 43. Rapes per 1000 population
- 44. Murders per 1000 population
- 45. Dowry death per 1000 population

xiv. Atrocities

- 46. Custodial deaths per 1000 population
- 47. Atrocities committed against women

Theme VI: Environment

xv. Environmental violations

 48. No. of Environmental Violations in the State*
 *SDG 15

xvi. Forest Cover

• 49. Increase / decrease in forest cover



Theme VII: Transparency and accountability*

xvii. Transparency

• 50. Services provided in Egovernance plan



xviii. Accountability

- 51. No. of ACB cases disposed off / total cases
- 52. Social Audit under
 NREGA: % of GPs covered

Stakeholder Consultations



Steps towards final evaluation

- Focused sectoral consultations with domain experts
- Department level consultations to assign weightages
- Establishment of Electronic Platform for DGGI Dynamic process
 - e-Gov Solution for Online & real time monitoring of GGIs
- LAST MILE project seeks to evaluate cutting edge service delivery by Gram Panchayat & Tehsil.

Timeline for Sector Compilation

#	Governance Sectors	Timeline
1.	Essential Infrastructure	completion
2.	Human Resource Development	deadline 31 st March,
3.	Social Protection	2018
4.	Women & Children	On 15 indicators
5.	Crime, Law & order	Economics & Statistics will
6.	Environment	provide data by 10 th jan 2018
7.	Transparency & Accountability	

Challenges

- ✓ Over 20 Departments involved in evaluating targets.
- ✓ Administrative data plays a major role
- Unavailability of data in a common format for all districts.
- Lack of convergence between departments leading to difficulty in collecting data at a single point.
- Lack of documentation for certain important data points at district level.
- NITI Aayog indicators are new & State level;hence difficulty correlating with distt & departmental data.
- No regular updating of data for all selected indicators.
- No formal data architecture for the whole state at district level.



- Strengthening Administrative Statistics
- Establishing well functioning MIS and end to end robust data flow through all 3 tiers of government and within sectors.
- Associate academia in this exercise so that research becomes need based.
- Trigger primary surveys in pockets of concern with improved sample size and disaggregated data for sharper focus.
- Glean natural inter departmental linkages that need closer Integration to work in tandem towards envisioned outcomes.
- Improving co-ordination (Centre-State, Inter- Sectoral, intra- state)
- Sharing, replicating, scaling up Best Practices

Milestones can be a minefield!

- Creating a formal data architecture for the whole state, district & local body level.
- Optimise resource deployment by financing to plug identified developmental gaps- using management by exception!
- Identifying complex and obsolete processes that retard development and need reengineering
- Aligning the State with HPACC on environment & climate change & the new GREEN GROWTH paradigm requires regular sustained data collection, aggregation and analysis protocols.
- An appetite for data crunching needs to be built for objective real time evaluation of good governance that can progress towards a cost benefit based developmental policy & strategy.
- Fostering healthy inter district competition that can buy into award winning performance for PM awards on flagship schemes.